Case 2883

Procervulus Gaudry, 1877 (Mammalia, Artiodactyla): proposed designation of Antilope dichotoma Gervais, 1849 as the type species

A.W. Gentry

clo Department of Palaeontology, The Natural History Museum, Cromwell Road, London SW7 5BD, U.K.

G. Rössner

Universitäts-Institut für Paläontologie und historische Geologie, Richard-Wagner-Strasse 10111, 8000 München 2, Germany

Abstract. The purpose of this application is to conserve the current understanding of the name *Procervulus* Gaudry, 1877 which is in established usage for a genus of Miocene cervoids. It is probable that Gaudry misidentified the single species that he included in the genus. It is proposed that *Antilope dichotoma* Gervais, 1849 be designated the type species, thereby also maintaining the usage of other names of distinct Miocene cervoid genera.

- 1. The specific name of *Antilope dichotoma* was made available by Gervais (1849, p. 549), who listed it and referred in a footnote to the distally bifurcated antler. Such an antler was subsequently described (vol. 1, p. 78; vol. 2, caption to pl. 23) and illustrated (vol. 3, pl. 23, figs. 4, 4a) by Gervais ([1850]). The latter work was dated 1848–1852 but surveys of currently published literature (Wagner, 1849, p. 3; 1850, p. 9; 1851, p. 9) have clarified the year of publication of its successive parts. The name *dichotoma* has been used consistently since publication for a species of Miocene cervoid or cervid with antlers bifurcated at the tip.
- 2. The genus Procervulus was founded by Gaudry (1877a, p. 88; 1877b, p. 555) for Miocene cervoids or cervids possessing distally forked antlers but no obvious coronet or burr to indicate seasonal shedding. Gaudry's publication as a book was dated 1878 on the title page. However, the 'depôt legal' of the work was made in November 1877 and an entry in the Bulletin de la Société Géologique de France, (3)6: 151 recorded that Gaudry presented a copy of his work to a meeting of the society on 17 December 1877. The chapter on ruminants from the work also appeared in La Revue Scientifique de la France et de l'Étranger, (2)13(24): 553-567, dated 15 December 1877. The date 1877 is adopted here for the publication of Gaudry's work. Since it is not feasible to ascribe priority to either publication both are cited for the name Procervulus. Three of the four antlers illustrated by Gaudry (1877a, fig. 100; 1877b, fig. 32) had complete pedicels and demonstrated unambiguously the author's concept of the morphology to be found in his new genus. The illustrated antlers agreed morphologically with Gervais's Antilope dichotoma but Gaudry (1877a, p. 88; 1877b, p. 557, footnote) used the name Cervus capreolus aurelianensis Meyer in Laurillard, 1843 (p. 333) for the single species he placed in Procervulus.

C. aurelianensis is thus the type species of the genus by monotypy. Both Laurillard and Gervais ([1850], p. 86) noted that the name aurelianensis had been used in a manuscript by Meyer for some dental and antler pieces from Montabuzard, France, which were illustrated but not named by Cuvier (1823, p. 103, pl. 8, figs. 3–6).

- 3. The antlers of Cervus aurelianensis Laurillard, figured by Cuvier (1823, pl. 8, figs. 3, 4), are of uncertain identity within the CERVIDAE, whilst the illustrated upper dentition (Cuvier, 1823, pl. 8, fig. 6) is congeneric with Dorcatherium Kaup, 1833 (family TRAGULIDAE). Stehlin (1925, p. 88) observed that Cuvier's antlers probably came from a more advanced deer than those illustrated by Gaudry. He designated A. dichotoma Gervais as the type species of Procervulus but this, however desirable, was an invalid designation (see para. 2 above).
- 4. Gervais (1859, p. 151) had recorded Lartet's opinion that not only was *Cervus aurelianensis* possibly a species of the Miocene cervid *Dicrocerus* Lartet, 1851 but so also was the *Antilope dichotoma* antler illustrated by Gervais ([1850], vol. 3, pl. 23, fig. 4). We are confident, however, that the latter is correctly placed in *Procervulus*.
- 5. The name *Procervulus* has been used consistently for species having antlers similar to those illustrated by Gaudry (1877a, fig. 100; 1877b, fig. 32), and *A. dichotoma* is currently always included in the genus. Examples of usage include the works by Roman & Viret (1934), Stehlin (1937), Rinnert (1956), Viret (1961), Ginsburg & Crouzel (1976), Vislobokova (1983), Ginsburg & Bulot (1987) and Azanza (1993). Recognition of *C. aurelianensis* as the type species of the genus would render *Procervulus* a subjective synonym of one of the names used for more advanced Miocene deer, either a junior synonym of *Dicrocerus* Lartet, 1851, or a senior synonym of *Euprox* or *Heteroprox*, both of Stehlin (1928). The last three names are all in use. To maintain the established usage of *Procervulus* we propose that Stehlin's (1925) designation be ratified and that *Antilope dichotoma* Gervais, 1849 be designated the type species.
- 6. The International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature is accordingly asked:
 - to use its plenary powers to set aside all previous fixations of type species for the nominal genus *Procervulus* Gaudry, 1877 and to designate *Antilope dichotoma* Gervais, 1849 as the type species;
 - (2) to place on the Official List of Generic Names in Zoology the name Procervulus Gaudry, 1877 (gender: masculine), type species by designation in (1) above Antilope dichotoma Gervais, 1849;
 - (3) to place on the Official List of Specific Names in Zoology the name dichotoma Gervais, 1849, as published in the binomen Antilope dichotoma (specific name of the type species of Procervulus Gaudry, 1849).

References

Azanza, B. 1993. Systématique et évolution du genre Procervulus, cervidé (Artiodactyla, Mammalia) du Miocène inférieur d'Europe. Compte Rendu Hebdomadaire des Séances de l'Acodémie des Sciences, (3)316: 717–723.

Cuvier, G. 1823. Recherches sur les ossemens fossiles, Nouvelle édition, vol. 4. 514 pp. Dufour & D'Ocagne, Paris.

Gaudry, A. 1877a. Les enchaînements du monde animal dans les temps géologiques. Manmifères tertiaires. 293 pp., 312 figs. Savy, Paris.

- Gaudry, A. 1877b. Les ruminants et leurs parents. La Revue Scientifique de la France et de l'Etranger, (2)13(24): 553-567.
- Gervais, F.L.P. 1849. Sur la répartition des mammifères fossiles entre les différents âges tertiaires qui composent le sol de la France. Compte Rendu Hebdomadaire des Séances de l'Académie des Sciences, 28: 546-552.
- Gervais, F.L.P. [1850]. Zoologie et Paléontologie Françaises ..., vol. 1 (text), viii, 271 pp.; vol. 2 (captions to plates, mostly unpaginated); vol. 3 (atlas), 80 pls. Bertrand, Paris.
- Gervais, F.L.P. 1859. Zoologie et Paléontologie Françaises ..., Ed. 2, vol. 1 (text), viii, 544 pp.; vol. 2 (atlas), 84 pls. Bertrand, Paris.
- Ginsburg, L. & Bulot, C. 1987. Les artiodactyles sélénodontes du Miocène de Bézian à La Romieu (Gers). Bulletin du Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle, section C, (4)9(1): 63-95.
- Ginsburg, L. & Crouzel, F. 1976. Contribution à la connaissance d'Heteroprox larteti (Filhol). Cervidé du Miocène européen. Bulletin du Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle, (3)399: 345–357. (Sciences de la Terre, no. 58).
- Lartet, E. 1851. Notice sur la colline de Sansan. L'Annuaire du Département du Gers, 1851: 3-45.
- Laurillard, C.L. 1843. Cerfs fossiles. Pp. 329–333 in d'Orbigny, C. (Ed.), Dictionnaire Universel d'Histoire Naturelle, vol. 3. 744 pp. Paris.
- Rinnert, P. 1956. Die Huftiere aus dem Braunkohlenmiozän der Oberpfalz. Palaeontographica, (A)107: 1–65.
- Roman, F. & Viret, J. 1934. La faune de mammifères du Burdigalien de La Romieu (Gers). Mémoires de la Société Géologique de France, (NS)9(21): 1-67.
- Stehlin, H.G. 1925. Catalogue des ossements de mammifères Tertiaires de la collection Bourgeois. Bulletin de la Société d'Histoire Naturelle et d'Anthropologie de Loir-et-Cher, 18: 77–277.
- Stehlin, H.G. 1928. Bemerkungen über die Hirsche von Steinheim am Aalbuch. Eclogae Geologicae Helvetiae, 21: 245-56.
- Stehlin, H.G. 1937. Bemerkungen über die miocaenen Hirschgenera Stephanocemas und Lagomeryx. Verhandlungen der Naturforschenden Gesellschaft in Basel, 48: 193–214.
- Vislobokova, I.A. 1983. The fossil deer of Mongolia. Trudy Sovmestnaya Sovetsko-Mongol'skaya Paleontologicheskaya Ekspeditsiya, 23: 5-74. [In Russian].
- Wagner, A. 1849, 1850, 1851. Bericht über die Leistungen in der Naturgeschichte der Säugethiere während des Jahres 1848 (1849, 1850). Archiv für Naturgeschichte, 15(2): 1–30 (1849); 16(2): 1–42 (1850); 17(2): 1–32 (1851).